

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,837	11/15/2005	Dieter Ramsauer	GK-STR-1011/500638.20033 3764	
26418 7590 12/10/2007 REED SMITH, LLP ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650			EXAMINER	
			DELISLE, ROBERTA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW TORK,	N 1 10022-7030		4155	
	•			
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	12/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/556,837	RAMSAUER, DIETER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Roberta S. DeLisle	4155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 November 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 32-62 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 32-62 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) \square objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 4155

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner notes that figure descriptions are confusing and misleading. As only one example of many, paragraph [0041] states "another embodiment form of the handle" but figures 6A or 6B appear to be attachment methods.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I: Figures 1A – 2

Species II: Figures 3A - 3B

Species III: Figures 5A – 5C

Species IV: Figures 6A – 6B

Species V: Figures 7A – 7C

Species VI: Figures 8A – 8H

Species VII: Figure 10

Species VIII: Figures 11A – 11D

Species IX: Figures 12A – 12C

Species X: Figures 12E –12P

Species XI: Figures 13A – 13C

Species XII: Figures 13D – 15D

Species XIII: Figures 16A - 16D

Species XIV: Figures 17A –17C

Species XV: Figures 18A – 18C

Page 2

Art Unit: 4155

Species XVI: Figures 18D – 18G

Species XVII: Figures 18H –18I

Species XVIII: Figures 19A – 19D

Species XIX: Figures 19E – 19H

Species XX: Figures 20A – 20F

Species XXI: Figures 20G – 20J

Species XXII: Figures 21 & 23

Species XXIII: Figures 22A - 22C

Species XXIV: Figures 24A - 24C

Species XXV: Figures 25A – 25F

Species XXVI: Figure 26

Species XXVII: Figures 27A-27C

Species XXVIII: Figures 28A - 28H

Species XXIX: Figures 29A - 29G

Species XXX: Figures 30A - 30G

Species XXXI: Figures 31A – 31G

Species XXXII: Figures 32A – 32G

Species XXXIII: Figures 33A – 33E

Species XXXIV: Figures 34A - 34C

The species are independent or distinct because each species comprises additional structural features.

Art Unit: 4155

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberta S. DeLisle ("Bobbi") whose telephone number is 571-270-3746. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Victor Batson can be reached on 571 272-6987. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4155

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victor D. Batson

SPE

Art Unit 4155

rsd